As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my manife that

I verily believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or a joint inventor (if plural inventors are named below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: ENDOVASCULAR GRAFT AND PROCESS FOR BRIDGING A DEFECT IN A MAIN VESSEL NEAR ONE OF MORE BRANCH VESSELS.

Old I I II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Dan Garage	I WITH VESSEE IVERING	E OF MORE BRANCH VESSE	11 5
The specification of which				
a. is attached hereto				
b. \boxtimes is entitled ENDOVASC	ULAR GRAFT AND PROCE	SS FOR BRIDGING A DEF	ECT IN A MAIN VESSEL NEA	R ONE OF
MORE BRANCH VES				
application) described ar	oplication serial no. and and claimed in international no. I solicit a United States patent.	was amended on (if app filed and as ame	plicable) (in the case of a PCT-file inded on (if any), which I l	
I hereby state that I have review any amendment referred to above		of the above-identified specif	ication, including the claims, as a	mended by
I acknowledge the duty to discless of Federal Regulations, § 1.56 (al to the examination of this a	pplication in accordance with Title	e 37, Code
	also identified below any fore	ign application for patent or in	foreign application(s) for patent aventor's certificate having a filing	
a. no such applications haveb. such applications have t				
≅ FO	REIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY	, CLAIMING PRIORITY UNDER	35 USC § 119	
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)	
# # # .ñ				
	EIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY,	FILED BEFORE THE PRIORITY	APPLICATION(S)	
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING	DATE OF ISSUE	

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120/365 of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

(day, month, year)

(day, month, year)

U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	STATUS (patented, pending, abandoned)	

Please direct all correspondence in this case to the address indicated below:

Tyler L. Nasiedlak 5555 Matterhorn Drive Fridley, MN 55432

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

2	Full Name	Family Name	First Given Name	Second Given Name	
	Of Inventor	CASTANEDA	WILFRIDO	R.	
0	Residence & Citizenship	City NEW ORLEANS	State or Foreign Country LOUISIANA	Country of Citizenship U.S.A.	
1	Post Office	Post Office Address	City	State & Zip Code/Country	
1	Address	2 STILT STREET	NEW ORLEANS	LA / 70124 / USA	
Signature of Inventor 201: Date:					

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is estated when the information compels a conclusion at a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
- (1) Each inventor named in the application:
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.